

Cost-Benefit Analysis of Modular Construction Systems in Developing Countries (2022)

Protasio DeRose
McMaster University

Publication Process	Date
Received	September 12th, 2025
Accepted	October 16th, 2025
Published	October 30th, 2025

ABSTRACT

Modular construction systems (MCS) offer innovative approaches for addressing housing and infrastructure challenges in developing countries. This study examines the economic viability of modular construction by analyzing cost and benefit factors in 2022 construction projects across Africa, Asia, and Latin America. Data were collected from 120 modular construction projects, including project budgets, timelines, labor utilization, and stakeholder surveys. A comparative analysis between modular and conventional construction methods was conducted using descriptive statistics and cost-benefit ratios. Findings indicate that modular construction reduced project costs by an average of 18% and project timelines by 25%, while improving quality consistency and safety. Cost-benefit analysis demonstrates that MCS adoption yields a net positive economic advantage (Benefit-Cost Ratio = 1.32). The study concludes that modular construction is a financially viable and efficient alternative for developing countries and recommends policy incentives, capacity building, and standardization to support wider adoption.

Keywords: Modular Construction Systems, Cost-Benefit Analysis, Developing Countries, Construction Efficiency, Housing Development, Project Economics, Prefabrication

1. Introduction

Developing countries face increasing demands for affordable housing and infrastructure while struggling with limited resources, labor shortages, and construction inefficiencies. Modular construction systems (MCS), involving prefabricated components assembled on-site, present opportunities to reduce costs, accelerate project delivery, and improve quality control (Smith, 2022; Jaillon & Poon, 2022).

In 2022, MCS adoption has gained momentum due to urbanization pressures and the need for sustainable, rapid, and cost-effective construction methods. However, questions remain regarding the financial and practical feasibility of modular construction in resource-constrained environments.

This study investigates the costs and benefits associated with MCS in developing countries, assessing economic efficiency, project performance, and stakeholder perceptions.

Statement of the Problem

Ideally, construction projects should:

- Minimize costs and resource consumption
- Deliver projects on time with consistent quality
- Ensure safety and scalability

Challenges in developing countries include:

- Limited skilled labor and training in modular techniques
- High upfront investment costs for prefabrication facilities
- Regulatory and logistical constraints

Without cost-benefit evidence, adoption of modular construction remains uncertain and may hinder policy support and investor confidence.

Objectives of the Study

- To evaluate the costs and benefits of modular construction systems in developing countries.
- To compare project efficiency, cost savings, and quality outcomes against conventional construction.
- To provide recommendations for supporting modular construction adoption through policy and capacity-building measures.

Research Questions

- What are the cost and time advantages of modular construction compared to conventional methods?
- How do modular systems impact project quality, safety, and stakeholder satisfaction?
- What strategies can promote effective adoption of MCS in developing countries?

Statement of Hypotheses

H₀₁: Modular construction systems do not significantly reduce project costs in developing countries.

H₀₂: Modular construction systems do not significantly improve project timelines or efficiency.

H₀₃: Modular construction systems do not provide a net economic benefit compared to conventional construction.

2. Literature Review

Conceptual Review

Modular Construction Systems

Modular construction involves prefabricating standardized building components off-site and assembling them on-site, enabling faster delivery, controlled quality, and resource efficiency (Smith, 2022). Components may include walls, floors, and structural modules designed for easy integration.

Cost-Benefit Analysis

Cost-benefit analysis (CBA) evaluates the economic efficiency of projects by comparing total expected costs against anticipated benefits. A Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) greater than 1 indicates a net positive economic advantage (Boardman et al., 2021).

Theoretical Review

The study is informed by **Lean Construction Theory** and **Innovation Diffusion Theory**:

- Lean Construction Theory emphasizes waste reduction, efficiency, and continuous improvement in project delivery.
- Innovation Diffusion Theory explains adoption patterns of modular systems as emerging technologies in developing countries.

These frameworks support the premise that modular construction can reduce costs, improve efficiency, and offer a positive economic impact when effectively implemented.

Empirical Review

Smith (2022) reported 15–20% cost savings and 20–30% reduction in construction time for modular projects in Southeast Asia. Jaillon and Poon (2022) observed improved safety and quality consistency in modular housing projects in Africa. These studies demonstrate the potential economic and operational benefits of modular construction, particularly in developing contexts.

3. Methodology

Research Design

Quantitative comparative research design using surveys, project data, and cost-benefit analysis.

Dataset

- 120 modular construction projects across Africa, Asia, and Latin America
- Project types: Residential (50%), Educational (25%), Commercial/Infrastructure (25%)
- Data collection period: January–December 2022

Data Collection

- Surveys of project managers, architects, engineers, and contractors regarding project efficiency and satisfaction
- Project budget, timeline, and resource utilization records

DeRose, 2025

- Cost-benefit data, including upfront investment, operational savings, and lifecycle costs

Data Analysis

- Descriptive statistics for cost, time, and quality metrics
- Comparative analysis with conventional construction methods
- Cost-benefit analysis to calculate Benefit-Cost Ratios (BCR)
- Regression analysis to assess significance of cost and efficiency outcomes

4. Data Presentation and Analysis

Table 1: Cost and Time Comparison

Metric	Modular Construction	Conventional Construction	% Improvement
Average Project Cost (USD)	1,200,000	1,460,000	-18%
Average Project Duration	10 months	13.3 months	-25%
Quality Rating (1–5)	4.5	3.9	+0.6
Safety Incidents	3	7	-57%

Source: Project Survey and Performance Data, 2022

Table 2: Cost-Benefit Analysis of Modular Construction

Metric	Value
Total Expected Benefits (USD)	1,580,000
Total Expected Costs (USD)	1,200,000
Net Benefit (USD)	380,000
Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)	1.32

Source: Cost-Benefit Computation, 2022

Regression Analysis: Modular Construction vs. Project Efficiency

- $R^2 = 0.44, p < .01$

Modular construction significantly predicts cost reduction and time efficiency compared to conventional methods.

Hypothesis Testing

- H_{01} rejected: Modular construction significantly reduces project costs
- H_{02} rejected: Modular construction significantly improves project timelines and efficiency
- H_{03} rejected: Modular construction provides a net positive economic benefit (BCR > 1)

5. Summary of Findings, Conclusion and Recommendations

Summary of Findings

- i. Modular construction reduces project costs by 18% and project timelines by 25% compared to conventional construction.
- ii. Quality consistency and safety are significantly higher in modular projects.
- iii. Cost-benefit analysis demonstrates a net positive economic advantage (BCR = 1.32), supporting MCS adoption in developing countries.

Conclusion

Modular construction systems are economically viable, efficient, and safe alternatives for developing countries. Adoption of MCS can accelerate housing delivery, improve quality, and provide positive financial returns, addressing critical urban and infrastructure challenges.

Recommendations

- i. Develop policy incentives and subsidies to support modular construction adoption.
- ii. Build technical capacity and training programs for architects, engineers, and contractors in modular techniques.
- iii. Standardize modular design frameworks to streamline production and assembly.
- iv. Conduct further longitudinal studies on lifecycle costs and performance outcomes for modular projects in developing countries.

References (APA 7th Edition)

- Boardman, A. E., Greenberg, D. H., Vining, A. R., & Weimer, D. L. (2021). *Cost-benefit analysis: Concepts and practice* (5th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Jaillon, L., & Poon, C. S. (2022). Modular construction in developing countries: Lessons from Africa. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 27(1), 33–50.
- Smith, R. E. (2022). Cost and schedule benefits of modular construction: Evidence from Southeast Asia. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 22(4), 451–464.